

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1894-95-
191

File No. 45 of 1894.

Serial Nos. 1-9

(L. B.)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-9

Major ~~Part B~~ for December 1898

SUBJECT.

Payment of Compensation for the lands taken up for
The Chelva - Hagalwadi District Fund Road.

Sl. 1 - No. 880 D 12.4.95 From President,
Tumkur D. F. Board. }

Submits for sanction an estimate for Compensation
to be paid for the lands taken up for the Chelva -
Hagalwadi District Fund Road.

Sl. 2 - No. 19748-9 D 9.5.95 To D. C. Tumkur, }
2.7.228-93 ~~GPB 10,000-23-3-75~~ " Chief Engineer }

Requests the Dy. Commissioner and the Chief Engineer

Memo

FILE NO.	45 of 1894
SERIAL NO.	1-9

Chelur Hagabadi road
was constructed by the
P. W. D and the cost
thereof ~~was~~ debited to the
D. F. of the Tumkur Circle,
from which the road
is being maintained, the
necessary provision being
made in the annual
D. F. Budget.

DB No 880 of 12/4/95
Serial No 33

(2) On 12 April 1895,
the Dy Commr of Tumkur
submitted an estimate for
Rs 2675 for compensation
to be paid for the lands
taken up for the road ~~several~~
years back ~~1886~~. In reply he was asked
to state why compensation
was not paid at the time


Serial No 34

when lands were taken
up for the road and
how the amount of
compensation, which was
originally fixed at Rs 625;
rose to a large sum
of Rs 2675.

(3) The Dy Comr
accordingly submits a
long report on the
matter with the following
2 statements:-

(1) For Rs 917-10-0, being
the amount of compensation now payable
on account of lands taken
up for the Chelur Hagahadi
Road.

(2) For Rs 138-6-9, being
the full assessment recovered
from owners of lands



Sir.

The road was constructed by D. P. W. at an estimated cost of Rs 5284.

FILE No. 4501894

In the C. E's report of 23/5/95, the

detached copy which is in the D. P. W. file in regard to the Chhelu Hagahandi road, the compensation statement prepared by the Rev Dept on account of lands required for the road came to Rs 625, but the amount ^{now} stated to be required on account of them is Rs 2675. May the Dy Commr & the Chief Engineer be asked to state why the compensation was not paid at the time & why such large amount is now required.

The road is being maintained at the cost of D. F. Dy.

Yes, further info is reqd before passing such a large excess.
 4/5

From

The President of the District Fund Board, Trimbhara District

To

The General Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.

Dated 12th

Despatched

Received

17/4/95

Enclosures No. 2

General No.

No. 880

Submitting for sanction an Estimate for Rs 2675 for compensation to be paid for the lands taken up for the Chhelu-Hagahandi District Fund road.

To the Secy 19748-979/5

L F B

P.D.

14/4

Please enquire if there is any correspondence in D. P. W.

Dy 24/4

880

Office of the President
of the District Council
of Board of the District
Dated 12th April 1895.

50,

The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore.

Sir,

The Executive Engineer sent
with his report No 376 of the 8th
April 1895 an estimate for rupees
2675/- for compensation to be paid
for the lands taken up for the
Chelvar, Hapalwadi District
Council road which was con-
-structed some time ago. It
was placed before the District
Council Board on the 9th April
1895. The Board resolved that the
estimate be passed, the amount
being

33
62

Extract from the Proceedings of the Members
of the District Fund Board of the Tumkur
District, dated the 9th April 1895.

x x x x x

10. Read the Executive Engineer's yadi
No. 376 of the 8th April 1895 sending an
estimate for Rs. 2675 for compensa-
-tion to be paid for the lands taken
up for the Chelur-Hagalvadi District
Fund road which was constructed
some time ago. Resolved that the
estimate be passed, the amount
being met out of Rupees 7000 pro-
-vided in the current year's ^{District Fund} budget
for works to be done by the revenue
Officers.

x x x x x

"Signed" B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar
President

"Extract"



Resident of the
District Fund Board
of the Tumkur District

33
7

(P. W. Serial No. 7.) No. 7.
Requisition on the P. W. D. for Petty Work.

Estimate prepared by *The Executive Engineer Tumkur Dist*
in accordance with requisition No. *1554* of *5-4-95* from *The Dy-Commr*
for *Tumkur Dist*
Date *8-4-95* *Compensation for lands taken up for Chelur Hagar Road* Signature.

Items.	Rate per	Cost Rs.	Total Rs.
<i>Compensation for lands taken up for Chelur Hagar Road as per Kanarese file ending with Dy-Commr's order No: 1554 of 5-4-95</i>		<i>2675</i>	<i>Rs 2675</i>

Tumkur } *President of the Dist*
Date *8-4-95* } *Fund board of the*
11/4/95 } *Tumkur Dist*
Sanctioned. To be executed by Signature.


Date Signature. Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Completion Certificate.

Work done as above, and expenditure incurred as per detail at back.

Date	Signature.	Work done.	Date	Signature.
------	------------	------------	------	------------

(34)



Read:-

Letter from the
Deputy Commissioner,
Sunkur Distt 4 12
April 1895, No: 880,
submitting an estimate
for compensation to
be paid for the lands
taken up for the Chelur
Hagalvadi Distt. Trunk
Road.

R/L 3243

19748-9
d/7 2 28/93. 9/9/93
9/5/91.

Order thereon.

The Dy Commissioner
and the Chief Engineer
are requested to state
why compensation

was not paid, at
the time when lands
were taken up for
the road constructed
a few years back.

The former should
also state why and
under what circumstances,
the compensation
amount, which ^{is stated} ~~appears~~ was

~~to have been~~ fixed,
at Rs: 625/-, as stated

in the Ex: Engineer's
report to the address
of the Chief Engineer

of 23rd May 1893, ^{and now raised to}

has ^{now} risen to such a large
figure as Rs: 2,675/-.


To,

The Dy. Commisars,
Lunkur.

Chief Engineer
of Mysore

4782

Gen. 31



SECTION L. B.
 FILE NO. 45081894
 SERIAL 3

From Mr. President of the District Forest Board, Shimoga District.

To The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Geol. and Rev. Departments.

Dated 30 October 1896.

Despatched 5th Do

Received

General No. 0
 No. 358

Enclosure No. 2 ¹¹ 31

Gout. Pro. No 19748-9 of 9.
L. F. 228-93
May 1895.

Submits a report re-
 -garding compensation
 payable for lands taken
 up for the road from
 Chelur to Hagalwadi.

Rev. J. M. S.
9-10-96

19/10 K. J. S. Check figures re
 Figure: check. 24/10

Office of the President of the
District Fund Board

Tumkur District

Dated, Tumkur, 3rd October 1896.

To The Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, General and Revenue
Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to Proceedings No.

19748-2- dated the 9th May 1895, regard-
L74220-93-ing Compensation payable for lands
taken up for the road from Chelur
to Hagalvade, I have the honor to
submit the following report.

2. An Estimate for Rupees 1600/- was
sanctioned in August 1886 for opening
out a road from Chelur to Hagalvade.
Before the estimate was sent up for
sanction, the amount of compensa-
tion payable for the occupied lands
over which the road was proposed to
be taken should have been ascertain-
ed and included in the estimate
for the road. This was not done. To
this omission may be traced the
confusion in the correspondence and
delay in the payment of compensation.

In Dec 1896
is not
+ 7

After the Estimate was received & sanctioned, the D.P.W. requested that Compensation might be fixed for lands over which the road would be aligned. After some correspondence with the Executive Engineer, as the agency by which lands to be taken up should be measured, a list was at last furnished by the D.P.W. in 1888. But in September 1888, some change was made in the alignment of the road and the ^{list} had to be revised. Such a revised list seems to have been furnished to the Revenue Department in 1889 or 1890, but no steps were apparently taken to settle the Compensation. The lands were however taken up by the D.P.W., while the Amildar took steps to have the proportionate assessment on the portions of occupied lands taken ^{up} for the road struck off the Akarband. The Akarabands are reported to have been corrected accordingly in 1890-91.

3. The road work seems to have

been commenced in 1886 at both ends
of ^{the} line. About the middle of the line
near the village of Hosakere, the pro-
posed road passed through some
valuable areca nut gardens, the ac-
quisition of which entailed the
payment of a large amount of
compensation. Owing to this reason,
there was again a change in the
alignment. Of this change, no infor-
mation appears to have been given
to the Revenue Department till 1895,
and to this day, the reduction of
the proportionate assessment on these
garden lands, made in the Ahar
board in 1890-91, continues, although
the lands themselves have not been
taken up for the road.

4. In the year 1893, a statement
of Compensation for the lands taken
up only in two villages, viz. Hagal-
vade and Chittappaiahalli, at
the Hagalvade end of the line, seems
to have been sent up to and passed
by the Executive Engineer. The amount
payable in these two ^{villages} amounted
to Rupees 620 of which is probably the

4.

More than
3 years delay -
which is not
and both to
the other concern
all

There is a question
for the District
for disposal
all

7. Though the lands in question were taken up in the year 1886-87, the proportionate assessment thereon was not struck off till 1890-91 in most cases and even to its later period in others. The owners of the lands continued to pay the full assessment till the ~~at~~ Karabunds were revised and the revision was given effect to. These persons are fairly entitled to a refund of the amount paid by them on the lands taken up. The amount thus payable is Rupees 138-6-9. This may either be added on to the Estimate of Compensation (Rupees 917-10-") now submitted and the whole amount (Rupees 1056-0-9) charged to the District Fund Bud-
get, or a Refund Bill for Rs. 138/6/9 ordered to be submitted for sanction from Provincial Revenue.

8. The gardens referred to in para 3 above on which proportionate assessment was struck off in

1890 91 along with that of other lands
taken up for the road will be restored
to the Jhatedars and the assessment
reinforced. The same procedure
will be followed in the case of other
lands which have not been taken
up for the road.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

M. M. M. Rao
President

Statement shewing lands taken up for opening the Cart track from Chelur to Nagawadi which Continued to pay full assessment till Akarbands were revised and the revision was given effect to and the owners who are entitled to a refund of the amount paid by them

Name of Nagari	Name of Village	Number	Holder of the land	Amount to be refunded				
				Survey No.	Assessment	Rate of Assessment	Year of payment	Amount
Chelur	Kachonahalli	1	Saujira Bin Dod Ranga }	25	1	"	1886-87 to 1890-91	5 5 "
		2	Sooramana Honi } Saujira - - - }	32	"	4	"	1 5 3
		3	Giria Bin Pothanna }	32 2	"	4	"	1 5 3
		4	Thiruv Honi } Erama Saujira }	33 1	"	4	"	1 5 3
		5	Saujira bin } Giri Gowda }	33 2	"	4	"	1 5 3
		Total		-	2	"	-	10 10 "
	Sarganahalli	1	Nanja bin Honnappa	5	"	8	"	2 10 6
		2	Narsimkadevara Josi	58	"	4	"	" 1 3
		3	Boma son of Eera Thalwar Eramthi -	61	"	8	"	" 2 6
		4	Basave Gonda bin } Sangappa - - - }	6	"	8	"	1 1 "
		5	Rangappa son of Sivanna	57	"	4	"	" " 6
		6	Siba son of Ranganna	62	"	8	"	1 1 "
		7	Anganna	63 1	1	"	"	4 4 "

Chelur

		Total		3	4			9	4	9
1	Bhyredevara Eumanti	38	"	4	"	Vyayato Vikriti 47 th	"	1	"	"
2	Mele Gowda - present holder by transfer - Shamana	39	"	4	"	Vyayato Vikriti 47 th	1	1	"	"
3	Chik Chenna bin Thim Dasa	145	1	"	"	Do Enam 47 th	"	4	"	"
4	Siddia bin Mari Sida	146	1	8	"	Vyayato Vikriti 47 th	6	6	"	"
5	Narsimma son of Chitham - bria	Akar not struck off								
6	Narsingappa son of Shamana	150	"	8	"	Vyayato Vikriti 47 th	2	2	"	"
7	Thirumaldevara Eumanti	152	"	4	"	Do an	"	1	"	"
8	Kempri Kom theinga	173	"	4	"	Vyayato Vikriti 47 th	1	1	"	"
9	Ranga bin Govinda	173	"	4	"	Do	1	1	"	"
10	Thina bin Ramani	176	1	4	"	Do	5	5	"	"
11	Narsappa son of Soma Aradhari holder by transfer for the year "Manmatha"	176	"	4	"	Do	1	1	"	"
12	Mele Gowda bin Kubatama	177	"	12	"	Do	3	3	"	"
13	Bhyra bin Boradetty	177	"	4	"	Do	1	1	"	"
14	Drishiteswara devara Eumanti	179	"	12	"	Do an	"	3	"	"
Total			7	4			22	14	"	"

Agalwadi

Chitambari

1	Root Ranga son of Ranga	11	1	.	.	From 1886-87 Kharab 67.	6	6	"
2	Hapiia bin Sid Varia	$\frac{16}{1}$	"	4	"	Do -	1	9	6
3	Karia bin Thimappa	$\frac{16}{2}$	"	4	"	Do	1	9	6
4	Krishnappa son of Chittambria }	$\frac{15}{2}$	"	12	"	From 1886-87 Vikriti 57	3	15	9
5	Do	$\frac{15}{1}$	"	4	"	1886-87 Kharab 7.	1	9	6
Total			2	8	"		15	2	3

1	Ranga son of Halambadi	10	"	8	"	Do -	3	3	"
2	Haribasavia	$\frac{11}{1}$	"	12	"	Do	4	12	6
3	Kari Thimain bin Thimain }	$\frac{7}{1}$	"	4	"	Do	1	9	6
4	Narsindassia	$\frac{12}{1}$	"	8	"	Do -	3	3	"
5	Era bin Sana Era	$\frac{4}{1}$	"	12	"	Do	4	12	6
Total			2	12	"		17	2	6

1	Dodda Thima son of }	$\frac{41}{1}$	"	8	"	Vyayata Vikriti 47	2	2	"
---	-------------------------	----------------	---	---	---	-----------------------	---	---	---

Chitambari

Chitambari

Wom

	Thimaji	1							
2	Dod Rangia bin Kari Rangia	3	1	u	u	do	4	4	u
	Total		1	8	u		6	6	u

1	Marasim devara Enant	118	1	4	u	1886-87 ^{24/4/99} Kharala ^{for 59m}	u	6	3
2	Thalwar/Kannapille	123	1	u	u	do		5	u
3	Rangdama bin Chithambria	119	u	8	u	do Assessment & Con	2	10	6

Hosakere

4	Hosakere Melia	122	u	8	u	do	2	10	6
5	Marasama Kerebandi Enant	125	u	8	u	do ap	u	2	6
6	Marasama wife of Krishnappa	124	u	8	u	do Assessment & Con	2	10	6
7	Hannumantha bin Hadda	4	1	u	u	do	5	5	u
8	Thevadikali Basama wife of Sidaia	4	u	8	u	do	2	10	6
	Total		5	12	u		16	12	9

Sivarama Rao

1	Sobraya son of Ranganna	$\frac{45}{1}$	"	4	"	1886-87 Vyayathi Vikruti 54"	1	5	3
2	Patel Huchana	$\frac{46}{1}$	Akhar not struck off						
3	Narasimha son of Ramana	$\frac{45}{1}$	"	4	"	1886-87 Vyayathi Vikruti 54"	1	5	3
4	Hesara Bhatta	$\frac{41}{1}$	"	8	"	Do App	"	2	6
Total			1	"	"		2	13	"

1	Venkatacharyain Bhatta son of Venkatachela Bhatta Enanti 6	6	"	8	"	Vyaya 1886-87 1/2 to Mammatha 104"	"	5	"
2	Honnua	$\frac{7}{1}$	"	4	"	Pr 84 1/2 from Saravathuri	2	2	"
3	Dod Hanuma	$\frac{5}{1}$	1	"	"	Pr 104 1/2 from Vyaya	10	10	"
4	Shankogow Krishna Appa	$\frac{4}{1}$	"	4	"	Do	2	10	6
5	Kotia	$\frac{17}{1}$	"	8	"	Do	5	5	"
6	Bappaanna	$\frac{18}{1}$	1	8	"	Do	15	15	"
7	Kari Sida	$\frac{24}{1}$	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
8	Hannuma	$\frac{8}{1}$	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total			4	"	"		36	15	6
Grand Total							138	6	9

Witnessed / P. Chitharamaia

Chitrapura

Munkur 2
3rd Sept: 1896

"Translation" ...

M. Karaim Rao
President, District Fund Board
Munkur District

6	Varasirappa son of Shanamma	115	"	14	"	8	"	25	"	"	8	12	"
7	Chikkennal Shennadasan Eera Zulwar Inanti	151	"	17	"	"	"	25	"	"	10	10	"
8	Shirumala Devara Inanti	152	"	10	"	4	"	25	"	"	6	4	"
9	Kempi Kan Chenniga	173 1	"	14	"	4	"	25	"	"	8	12	"
10	Ranga Bin Govinda	173 2	"	9	"	4	"	25	"	"	5	10	"
11	Shimale Bin Rammiah	176 1	1	9	1	4	"	25	"	"	30	10	"
12	Mursappa son of Sonava dhanu Rammiah holder by transfer to the year Mammatha	176 2	"	5	"	4	"	25	"	"	3	2	"
13	Melagowda Bin Venkatammah	177 2	"	28	"	12	"	25	"	"	17	8	"
14	Bora Bin Bora Chetty	177 1	"	13	"	4	"	25	"	"	8	2	"

2	Linga Ben • mura	56	"	5	"	"	"	25	"	3	2
3	Narasimha Devvara godi	58	"	19	"	4	"	25	"	11	14
4	Bommalu son of Gera-zulwa Inanti	61	"	16	"	8	"	25	"	10	"
5	Honnappa Total	72	1	29	1	8	"	"	"	"	"
		5	3	38	2	12	"	"	"	55	10

No compensation required, as the land was taken up by the present holder in 1892-93.

<u>Cheloor</u>											
1	Byreddavara Inanti (Vahia) Tadachikana Molagowda Ben	38	"	17	"	4	"	25	"	10	10
2	Venkaiah Shannappa present holder by transfer	39	"	12	"	4	"	25	"	7	8
3	Chikkannaiah P. Shundata Inanti	145	1	15	"	1	"	25	"	34	6
4	Siddiah Ben Mari Sidda	146	1	31	1	8	"	25	"	44	6
5	Narsimha son of Chitann Zulwa Inanti	149	"	17	"	"	"	25	"	10	10

218 | 2

Statement showing the lands taken up for opening a cart-track from Cheloor to Hagalwady and compensation to be paid to the holders thereof.

No	Name of Byot	Survey no.	Extent taken up for the formation of cart track				Compensation fixed.				Remarks -	Amount.					
			Acre ^s + Gun ⁻ + 10 ^s		Assessment struck off the land ^s		Rate per acre		Amount			Rs as ps					
			3	4	Rs	as	ps	5	Rs	as	ps	6	Rs	as	ps		
	Kachonahalli																
1.	Sanjeewa Bin	25	1	34	1	"	"	25	"	"	46	4	"		84	6	"
	Dodda Ranga																
2	Thimma Kka	31	"	14	"	"	"	25	"	"	8	12	"				
	Rom Sanjeewa																
3	Surammah Rom	32	"	12	"	4	"	25	"	"	7	8	"				
	Sanjeewa	1															
4	Gerija Bin	32	"	15	"	4	"	25	"	9	9	6	"				
	Putta Thimma	2															
5	Thimma Rom	33	"	9	"	4	"	25	"	"	5	10	"				
	Eerana Sanjeewa	1															
6	Sanjeewa Bin	33	"	11	"	4	"	25	"	"	6	14	"				
	Eeri Gowda	2															
7	Thimma Bin	34	"	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
	Chenna	1															
8	Sanjeewa Bin	34	"	11	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
	Hutchana Geria	2															
9	Chickkanimma	35	"	36	"	8	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
	Rom Chikkanma																
	Total	9	4	31	2	8	"	"	"	"	84	6	"				
	Sanganahalli																
1.	Naraja Bin	5	1	9	"	8	"	25	"	"	30	10	"		55	10	"
	Honnappa																

The Amildar's statement does not give particulars of the amount of compensation fixed in these three cases. An explanation for the omission has been called for.

The Amildar's statement does not give particulars of the amount of compensation fixed in these three cases. An explanation for the omission has been called for.

55 | 10 | "

5	Drusteswara Devaramant	179	"	18	12	"	25	"	"	11	4	"				
	Total		8	29	6	9	"	"	"	218	2	"				
	Archalli															
1	Ranga Bin	10	"	29	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"				
	Huchamma															
2	Puttaranga Sar of Ranga	11	"	14	"	4	"									
				14	"	12	"									
				28	1	"	"	21	6	10	15	"	"			
3	Rapaniah Bin	16	"	13	"	4	"	24	9	10	8	"	"			
	Sidveeriah															
4	Karia Bin	16	"	14	"	4	"	22	13	8	8	"	"			
	Thimmappa	2														
5	Krishnappa	15	"	37	12	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"			
	son of Chitambur	2														
6	Boriah Bin															
	Kanjamma															
	holder of the above	15	"	21	"	4	"	25	"	"	13	2	"			
	land by transfer	1														
			2	33	2	8	"	"	"	"	44	2	"			

The land remained un-occupied till 1889-90 when it was applied for and obtained, hence no compensation is needed.

The holder is not entitled to compensation as he relinquished his holding in 1891-92.

<u>Sellechally</u>											
1	Ranga son of										
	Thalabadi	10	"	27	"	8	"	25	"	16	14
2	Kareebasaviah	4	"	39	"	12	"	25	"	24	6
3	Kareethimiah										
	Bin thimiah	7	"	5	"	4	"	25	"	3	2
4	Revannah Bin										
	Mallannah	7	"	19	"	12	"	"	"	"	"
5	Narasimhadasi	12	"	25	"	8	"	25	"	15	10
6	Jurwe' son of	12	"	18	"	8	"	"	"	"	"
	oba	2									
7	Eera bin Sum										
	Eera	4	"	31	"	4	"	25	"	19	6
	Total			11	"	8	"	30	"	8	4
8	Siddiah Bin										
	Ramappa	5	"	6	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
9	Seeba Bin Ramappa	4	"	26	"	4	"	"	"	"	"
10	Total			5	7	4	4	"	"	8	10
<u>Voonayala</u>											
1	Dodda thima										
	son of thima	4	"	19	"	8	"	"	"	"	"
		43	"	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
		11	"	26	"	8	"	25	"	17	18

Obtained in 1892-93 Subsequent to formation of road.

obtained on application in 1889-90, hence no compensation.

DO - in 1886-87

DO - in 1893-94

87 10 "

86 2 "

Sivamahalli (contd.)

2	Patil Huchanna son of Ranganna	46	11	4	25	6	14	
3	Varasinh - Kha +edar of Hosakere Hirka - son of Ramana now in possession of Nanjundiah	45	11	4	25	6	14	
4	Keshava Bhatta son of Subba Bhatta	41	23	8	40	23		
Total		1	16	1	4	43	10	

Grand total Rs 91.7 10

"Translation"

(Signed) P. Sitta Ramanna

A mildar of Gubbi Taluk

Dated 31.8.96.

M. Maraimad

President of rd. Bd.
Dunkur Dist.

21/10/96

		Dry 1	"	5	"	"	"	25	"	"	3	2	"
2	Dodda Ranga												
	Bin Kareel Ranga	Dry 3	"	28	"	8	"	25	"	"	17	8	"
	2 1/2 wet		"	9	"	8	"	80	"	"	6	12	"
			"	37	1	"	"	"	"	"	24	4	"
3	Uelloor Ranga												
	Bin Hari Ranga	2	"	16	"	"	"	25	"	"	10	"	"
4	Obli bin Shithee												
	Gowda	1	"	18	"	"	"	25	"	"	11	4	"
5	Obli son of Hari	43	"	7	"	"	"	25	"	"	4	6	"
6	Vunmaladur												
	Nursinha	42	"	25	"	"	"	25	"	"	15	10	"
	TOTAL		3	16	1	8	"	"	"	"	86	2	"
	Hosakere												
	Narasinha												
	Devaramanti	118	"	33	1	4	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
2	Basavanna												
	Devaramanti	116	"	9	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
3	Zulwar Chennarayana Pillay	123	1	3	1	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"

the villagers have, applied for an equivalent extent of land in Survey No. 128 in lieu of the Dravanti - hence no compensation is needed.

125 8 "

DO

DO

1 Vrkatananna
 Bhatta son of
 Vrkatachala
 Bhatta - Inamti
 Palle no. 2783

portion taken up for the road		6	34	"	8	3	50	"	"	42	8	"
2	Hanniah	7/1	"	16	"	"	"	25	"	"	"	"
3	Dodda Hanniah	5/1	1	26	"	"	"	25	"	"	41	4
4	Shanbhoghe Krishnappa	4/1	"	13	"	"	"	25	"	"	8	2
5	Kuntiah	17/1	"	35	"	"	"	25	"	"	21	14
6	Babbanah	18/1	2	6	"	"	"	25	"	"	53	12
7	Kari Sidda	24/1	"	6	"	"	"	25	"	"	3	12
8	Hanniah	8/1	"	2	"	"	"	25	"	"	1	4
Total			6	18	"	8	3	"	"	"	172	8

No compensation needs
 be given as the land was
 applied for and obtain
 ed subsequently i.e. after
 it had been included
 in the extent registered
 for the road.

Sivanahally

Soobbaraya son of Ranganna		45/1	"	11	"	4	"	25	"	"	6	14
-------------------------------	--	------	---	----	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	----

172 8 "

43 10 "

4	Rangadasinh	119	1	u	27	u	8	u	25	u	u	16	14	u
	son of Chitambra													
5	Bhadriah bin	119	2	u	9	u	u	u	25	u	u	5	10	u
	Chennaveeria													
6	Hosakere kullin	122	u	u	16	u	8	u	25	u	u	10	u	u
7	Narasanna	125	u	u	24	u	8	u	30	u	u	18	u	u
	Kere bande- Inanti													
8	Narasanna wife	124	u	u	19	u	8	u	25	u	u	11	14	u
	of Krishnappa													
9	Basaviah -	129	u	u	21									
	son of puttaba	129	u	u	22									
	Saviah -	2												
	Total		1		3	u	u	u	25	u	u	26	14	u
10	Hannanthan	4	u	u	36	1	u	u	25	u	u	22	8	u
	Bin Kadara													
11	Thavadehalli	4	u	u	22	u	8	u	25	u	u	13	12	u
	Basanna													
	wife of Siddia	1												
	Total		7		1	5	12	u	u	u	u	125	8	u

Sivapura -

Read: —

Letter No. 358 dated

³⁰~~30~~ October 1896, from the Presidentof the D.F. Board, Tumkur,
submitting ^{the report called for} with reference toin Govt Proceedings No. 19748-9
L.F. 228-9dated 9th May 1896, the ⁱⁿ report

regarding the compensation

payable for lands taken up

for the road from Chelur to

Hagalvadi

R/L 836

Order thereon No. 5410-11
L.F. 228-93

9/11/11/96

The payment of Rs 917-10-

being the amount of compensation

now payable on account of

lands taken up for the Chelur

Hagalvadi Road is sanctioned.

(24) The Dy Commissioner is

requested to point out the

source i.e. the current year's
D.F.

District Free and Budget from
which the charge can be met.

(1) It is ~~noted~~ ^{observed} that ~~the present~~
The Dy Commissioner has taken more
than a year to reply to ^{the} Govt.
Proceedings quoted in the above.
~~It is observed that~~ ^{It is observed that} References
from Government should be
promptly replied to.

(2) The remission of Rs 138.69,
being the full assessment
recovered from ^{the} owners of lands
acquired for the road, ~~is~~ will be
sanctioned ^{as} ~~subjected to~~ the
~~subsidy~~ of a Bill ⁱⁿ the
Rev: Department with the
usual Treasury certificate
appended thereto. The delay
of more than 3 years ^{is} ~~is~~
^{allowed to occur in getting the proportionate assessment} ~~allowed to occur~~ ^{is} not creditable


to the officers concerned.

(3) In the matter ^{of the}
~~Before~~ ^{the} proposed
revision of Akarbands, the Dy
Commissioner is requested to
state whether intimation
of the same was given to
the

Not here given
Send the letter
to the Revenue
which are order no.
your reply of 2.9.96
letter of 11.9.96
order of 11.9.96
as per order
of 11.9.96
from Provincial
revenues on
receipt.

on lands taken up
the accounts

Draft as per order



Read: —

Letter No 358 of the
3^d October 1896 from the
Dy Commr, & President of the
District Land Board, Junbur
District, submitting for
Sanction, a statement of
compensation amounting
to Rs. 914-10-0 payable on
account of lands taken
up for opening a road from
Chelur to Hagalvadi, and
recommending the refund of
Rs. 138-6-9 being the amount
of full assessment paid by
the holders of ~~these~~ some
of the aforesaid lands from
1886-87, when they were taken
up, ^{to} 1890-91 when the
the proportionate assess-
-ment thereon was struck
off the accounts & the akar
bands revised

Read ^{also:} ~~again~~ :- Progs of

Govt No 5410-11 dated
L. 7 228-93
11th November 1896, Sanctioning the payment of the compensation of Rs. 917-10-0.

Order there on: - No $\frac{4882}{26.387}$ } 28-11-96
1-12


The Dy Commr is requested to submit ~~a~~ usual refund bills with the Treasury certificate for the sum of Rs. 138-6-9 proposed to be ^{refunded} remitted in favor of the persons concerned. The delay of more than 3 years in writing off the accounts the proportionate assessment of the lands is not creditable to the officers concerned.

2. In the matter of the revision of akarlands, the Dy Commr will report whether intimation of the


Same was given to the Survey
Department and the Sancho
of Government obtained for
the alienation of the lands
in question. If not, both
these Courses must now
be adopted so that there
may be no confusion
between the village records
of the Survey Department
and those kept in the
Taluk.

3. ~~Do not~~. The Dy Comm
is also requested to report
whether the garden lands
referred to in para 3 of
his letter which were
not taken up for the
road have been restored
to the owners. If so, whether
the Kandayem due thereon
is being levied. ^{Early} Steps
should be taken for
the revision of the
akarbands

a Karb and in respect of
these lands.


2/10/96.  28/11/96

 To Secretary

To 11/11/96  12/11/96

The Dy. Commr.

Jumhar District.



1898-99

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore, Bangalore

Dated

4th July 1898

Despatched

8th October 1898

Received

Enclosures No. 1/2 Ver. files

General No. 288.

No.

Govt Proceedings No.
4882 dated 28th November
76-387 1896

Submits for a
sanction a request
bill for Rs 133-14-6
being the amount of
Kandagere repayable
to Centaine ryots whose
lands were taken up
for the Chikur Hagal
vadi road in the
Gulbi Taluk

Subd with draft.

11/2 Dec 98
Rw
10.10.98
Subd with draft
18/10
File put in
10/10/98
Red

No 288
Encl 2000
file

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Jumla District

Dated, Jumla, 4th July 1898.

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue &,
Departments

Sir

As directed in Govern-
ment Proceedings No: 4882 dated
28th November 1896, I have the honor
to submit herewith for sanction
and transmission to Comptroller for
audit one consolidated and 58
subsidiary refund bills for Rupees
133-14-6 being the amount of Ran-
dayem repayable to certain ryots
whose lands were taken up for the
Chelur Hagalvadi Road, in the
Gubbi Taluk and to state that
before the opening of the road,
lands required therefor were acquired
and the work commenced at both
ends. It was subsequently found

2.

8
necessary to make a deviation
in the alignment of the road, ~~is~~
Owing to which portions of lands
already acquired had to be given
up and new lands acquired.

The road was completed but the
Kandayem on the occupied lands
which were acquired in consequence
of the deviation continued to be
levied by a mistake. Hence
the necessity for the refund for
which sanction is now solicited

2. The record of the case is herewith sub-
mitted for reference and return.

I have the honor to be


Sir

Your most obedient servant

Mr Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner

W

20



Secretary Commr.
Simlwan District

FILE NO.	213
	15 of 189

The Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore (Spec.)

Dated 1st Nov. 1898
Despatched 2nd November 1898

Received

General No. 323
No.

Enclosure No. 59 of a/c file
His docket No. 3068 dated
20th October 1898. ^{Fr 311}

Submits for reference
58 Subsidiary Refused
Bills amounting in all
to Rs 133-14-6 being the
Amount of 1 Randayore
to be refunded to certain
ryots whose lands were
taken up for the Chichur
Hajalwadi Road

[Signature]

4-11-91

9/12/98 Recd 7/12 730

40323

Resgava file Deputy Commissioner
Simla District

Dated 1st November 1898.

Sir,
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Principal & Hon. Departments

Sir,

(As called for in your letter
No. 3068 dated 20th October 1898, I have
the honor to submit herewith 58)

Extrajudicially Deposited Bills amount-
ing in all to Rs. 133-14-6, being
the amount of Kandayani to be refunded
to certain ryots whose lands were
taken up for the Cholan Hagalwadi
Road and to solicit sanction for
thereof.

The Venkateswara records are here-
with retransmitted for reference.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Abba K. K. K.

Deputy Commissioner

bills, ~~referred to by~~ as called for in the above.

Sl. 9- No: 4196-7 D) 13.12.98 To
H 419

D. C. Sumthor, }
Comptroller }

Sanctions the bill for Rs. 133-14-6 and
transmits the same to the Comptroller
for audit

Indeal

Subbanna